IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
O.O.C.J.
WRIT PETITION NO.290 OF 2007

Ramchandra V. Chalke .. Petitioner
v/s.
Union of India and others ..Respondents

Mr. N.M. Ganguli for the petitioner.

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO AND
R.M. SAVANT, JJ.
DATED : 24TH APRIL, 2007

P.C.

The learned counsel seeks leave to correct the address of respondent No.3. Leave granted. Necessary amendment be carried out in the course of the day.

2. It is the case of the petitioner that he was in the employment of the respondent No.3 and was issued Code No.31-39873-102. It is his case that he was given Insurance No.31-6807661. The establishment of the respondent No.3 was also covered under the Employees Provident Fund and Miscellaneous Provisions Act and the petitioner was given the Account No.MH/22919/231. The contention of the petitioner is that the employer regularly deducted his provident fund from the wages paid to him. However, the annual statement of account was not issued to the petitioner except for the year 1990-91. On his retirement from the company-respondent No.3, he applied for withdrawal of his dues as grant of pension when he was informed that

the employer had not made any deposit towards his provident fund.

3. Considering the controversy, in our opinion, the petitioner to make a representation to the respondent No.2 alongwith the documentary evidence in his possession within four weeks from today. On such a representation being made by the petitioner, the respondent No.2 after issuing notice of hearing to the respondent No.3, to take appropriate action according to law not later than 12 weeks of receipt of the representation from the petitioner herein.

With the above observation, petition disposed of.

(F.I. REBELLO, J.)

(R.M. SAVANT, J.)